

**Table 2**  
**Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2009**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (In Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
<b>TOTAL</b>	2,376	54	576	36	1,764	1,827	29	28	73,799	19	10	2,276	55	13	3	-
<b>FEDERAL</b>	663	7	324	2	337	387	30	30	13,056	5	6	643	9	-	-	-
<b>ARIZONA</b>																
MARICOPA	22	6	-	-	22	40	28	28	1,071	-	-	19	3	-	-	-
PIMA	8	-	5	-	3	1	26	30	98	-	-	8	-	-	-	-
<b>CALIFORNIA</b>																
ALAMEDA	2	-	-	-	2	1	30	30	77	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
CONTRA COSTA	6	-	-	-	6	-	30	-	168	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	50	-	-	1	1	-	-	-
IMPERIAL	13	-	1	1	11	5	28	25	298	-	-	13	-	-	-	-
KERN	9	-	-	-	9	-	22	-	195	-	-	9	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	191	-	1	7	183	59	30	30	6,346	2	1	179	9	-	-	-
MERCED	2	-	-	-	2	1	30	30	88	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	58	-	-	3	55	9	30	27	1,527	-	-	56	2	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	65	-	1	4	60	18	30	30	1,960	-	-	65	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	8	-	-	-	8	4	21	26	242	-	-	8	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	141	-	141	-	-	39	30	29	-	1	-	138	2	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	29	-	-	-	29	37	30	30	1,594	-	-	27	2	-	-	-
SAN JOAQUIN	5	-	-	-	5	2	30	30	186	-	-	4	1	-	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	3	-	-	-	3	2	30	30	145	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	5	-	-	1	4	2	30	30	110	-	-	4	1	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	7	-	-	-	7	-	30	-	161	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
SHASTA	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	5	-	-	-	5	3	30	30	182	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	3	-	-	-	3	1	30	30	70	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
SUTTER	4	-	-	-	4	-	30	-	44	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
TULARE	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	57	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
VENTURA	26	-	1	-	25	2	30	6	583	-	-	25	1	-	-	-
<b>COLORADO</b>																
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	5	-	-	-	5	-	30	-	138	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DENVER)	24	-	-	-	24	8	30	30	730	-	-	24	-	-	-	-
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	56	-	-	1	55	17	30	30	1,736	-	-	56	-	-	-	-
6TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (LA PLATA)	3	-	-	-	3	2	30	30	128	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	3	-	-	-	3	1	30	30	109	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (GARFIELD, PITKIN, RIO BLANCO)	7	-	-	-	7	7	30	30	310	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO)	12	-	-	1	11	1	30	30	275	-	-	12	-	-	-	-
19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (WELD)	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	72	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)	2	-	-	-	2	-	24	-	36	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
<b>CONNECTICUT</b>																
ROCKY HILL	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	70	1	-	2	-	-	-	-

**Table 2**  
**Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2009 (Continued)**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (In Days)		Total Number of Days in Operation	Location Authorized in Original Application								
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions		Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified		
<b>FLORIDA</b>																		
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	27	-	-	1	26	4	30	30	627	-	-	27	-	-	-	-	-	-
7TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (VOLUSIA)	6	-	-	-	6	-	30	-	144	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	16	-	-	1	15	2	30	30	444	-	-	16	-	-	-	-	-	-
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DADE)	4	-	-	-	4	1	30	30	128	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	17	-	6	-	11	11	30	30	429	-	-	17	-	-	-	-	-	-
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	8	-	1	1	6	7	30	30	382	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>GEORGIA</b>																		
COBB	2	-	-	1	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
CORDELE	2	-	1	-	1	-	30	-	26	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
COWETA	1	1	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
GWINNETT	22	9	1	-	21	30	30	30	1,450	-	-	22	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	29	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
MACON	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	59	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
OGEECHEE	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	60	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAYCROSS	2	-	-	-	2	1	30	30	86	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>ILLINOIS</b>																		
DUPAGE	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>INDIANA</b>																		
MARION	4	-	-	-	4	5	30	30	251	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>KANSAS</b>																		
SALINE	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	33	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>MARYLAND</b>																		
BALTIMORE CITY	14	-	-	-	14	1	30	9	232	-	-	14	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARFORD	7	-	6	-	1	-	30	-	29	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>MASSACHUSETTS</b>																		
BRISTOL	2	-	2	-	-	1	30	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	6	-	-	-	6	5	30	27	135	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>MINNESOTA</b>																		
RAMSEY	3	-	-	-	3	3	30	20	118	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>MISSISSIPPI</b>																		
HINDS	4	-	-	-	4	5	30	30	243	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
LINCOLN	2	-	-	-	2	2	30	30	118	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
WALTHALL	6	-	-	-	6	4	30	23	228	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>NEVADA</b>																		
CLARK	54	6	1	1	52	18	30	30	1,782	-	-	54	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHOE	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	14	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>NEW JERSEY</b>																		
ATLANTIC	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-

**Table 2**  
**Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2009 (Continued)**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
<b>NEW JERSEY (CONTINUED)</b>																
BERGEN	38	-	-	-	38	30	20	10	940	-	-	37	-	1	-	-
CAMDEN	10	1	4	3	3	5	28	28	71	1	-	1	6	2	-	-
CAPE MAY	1	-	-	-	1	-	20	-	15	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
GLOUCESTER	4	-	1	-	3	1	15	10	43	1	-	3	-	-	-	-
HUDSON	15	1	-	1	14	4	27	20	410	-	-	14	-	1	-	-
HUNTERDON	3	-	1	-	2	-	20	-	33	-	-	1	-	2	-	-
MERCER	8	-	-	-	8	6	21	18	237	-	-	6	2	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	6	-	5	1	-	-	22	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
MONMOUTH	27	-	6	1	20	15	29	20	558	-	-	21	3	-	3	-
MORRIS	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
OCEAN	4	2	-	-	4	-	20	-	43	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	22	-	-	-	22	6	20	13	329	-	-	22	-	-	-	-
SOMERSET	20	-	-	1	19	15	21	10	350	-	-	20	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	21	-	18	-	3	11	23	24	64	-	-	20	1	-	-	-
UNION	24	-	18	1	5	7	28	29	64	1	-	22	-	1	-	-
WARREN	1	-	-	-	1	-	20	-	20	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
<b>NEW YORK</b>																
ALBANY	2	-	-	-	2	4	29	30	149	-	-	1	1	-	-	-
FRANKLIN	9	1	1	-	8	2	29	30	214	2	1	6	-	-	-	-
KINGS	19	1	2	-	17	28	26	27	1,112	-	-	17	2	-	-	-
MONROE	20	-	-	-	20	9	30	30	631	-	-	18	-	2	-	-
NASSAU	7	-	-	-	7	1	28	30	114	-	-	6	-	1	-	-
NEW YORK COUNTY	17	-	-	-	17	94	30	30	3,202	-	-	15	1	1	-	-
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	8	6	-	-	8	81	30	30	1,988	-	-	5	3	-	-	-
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	111	8	8	-	103	37	30	31	3,359	-	-	111	-	-	-	-
ONEIDA	5	1	4	-	1	5	30	30	43	1	1	2	1	-	-	-
ONONDAGA	1	1	-	-	1	3	30	30	109	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
QUEENS	129	-	-	2	127	305	28	30	11,456	1	-	127	-	1	-	-
RENSSELAER	2	-	-	-	2	1	26	12	63	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
SAINT LAWRENCE	2	-	2	-	-	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-
SARATOGA	1	-	-	-	1	3	30	30	112	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	73	-	-	-	73	97	30	24	3,735	-	-	73	-	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER	18	-	3	-	15	20	30	28	733	-	-	17	-	1	-	-
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	5	-	-	-	5	41	30	28	1,132	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
<b>OHIO</b>																
HAMILTON	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
<b>OKLAHOMA</b>																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	10	1	-	-	10	-	30	-	246	-	-	10	-	-	-	-
<b>PENNSYLVANIA</b>																
COLUMBIA	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	17	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
LEHIGH	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	50	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	9	-	-	1	8	-	30	-	91	-	-	9	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	36	1	4	-	32	12	30	30	956	-	-	36	-	-	-	-

**Table 2**  
**Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2009 (Continued)**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (In Days)		Total Number of Days in Operation	Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions		Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
<b>TENNESSEE</b>																
13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUTNAM)	13	-	2	-	11	-	30	-	199	1	-	12	-	-	-	-
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DAVIDSON)	42	1	-	-	42	31	30	30	1,559	-	-	42	-	-	-	-
<b>WISCONSIN</b>																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	4	-	-	-	4	1	30	30	143	1	-	3	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO	6	-	2	-	4	1	30	30	96	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
<b>WYOMING</b>																
LARAMIE	1	-	-	-	1	-	20	-	20	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
SWEETWATER	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	14	-	-	2	-	-	-	-

\* Based on the number of orders for which intercept devices were installed as reported by the prosecuting official.

\*\* Combination refers to the number of authorized interceptions for which more than one location was reported.