

**Table 2**  
**Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2010**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application							
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*	Number of Extensions	Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3,194</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>833</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>2,311</b>	<b>1,925</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>93,078</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3,053</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>FEDERAL</b>	<b>1,207</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>566</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>641</b>	<b>543</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>23,487</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1,198</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>ARIZONA</b>																
MARICOPA	15	3	-	1	14	39	30	24	1,046	-	-	13	2	-	-	-
PIMA	13	-	1	-	12	5	30	30	450	-	-	13	-	-	-	-
<b>CALIFORNIA</b>																
IMPERIAL	17	-	5	-	12	2	30	30	315	-	-	17	-	-	-	-
KERN	13	-	-	-	13	-	30	-	272	-	-	12	1	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	206	-	-	7	199	74	30	30	7,099	1	-	199	6	-	-	-
MERCED	2	-	-	-	2	3	18	18	90	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
MONTEREY	12	-	-	-	12	4	30	30	323	-	-	12	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	37	-	-	2	35	-	30	-	919	-	-	37	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	74	-	-	1	73	13	30	30	2,154	-	-	74	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	31	-	-	-	31	10	29	24	815	-	-	31	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	116	-	1	13	102	48	30	29	3,851	-	-	116	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	77	-	8	-	69	43	29	30	2,413	-	-	77	-	-	-	-
SAN JOAQUIN	4	-	-	-	4	-	30	-	55	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	14	-	-	2	1	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	19	-	-	1	18	1	23	28	421	-	-	19	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	5	-	-	-	5	1	30	30	138	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
SONOMA	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	14	-	-	-	14	6	30	30	410	-	-	14	-	-	-	-
SUTTER	1	-	-	-	1	-	14	-	14	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
TULARE	7	-	7	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
VENTURA	18	-	-	-	18	4	30	30	321	-	-	17	1	-	-	-
<b>COLORADO</b>																
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	19	-	-	-	19	14	30	30	861	-	-	19	-	-	-	-
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DENVER)	2	-	-	-	2	-	22	-	17	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	33	8	14	2	17	9	30	30	451	-	-	33	-	-	-	-
18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ARAPAHOE)	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (WELD)	5	-	-	-	5	-	24	-	86	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (BOULDER)	9	-	9	-	-	1	30	30	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-
21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)	13	-	1	-	12	1	30	30	299	-	-	13	-	-	-	-
ADAMS	3	-	-	-	3	4	30	30	131	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	17	-	7	-	10	-	30	-	253	-	-	17	-	-	-	-
<b>CONNECTICUT</b>																
HARTFORD	7	-	4	-	3	3	15	15	34	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2	-	1	-	1	-	15	-	7	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
<b>FLORIDA</b>																
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	37	-	-	-	37	14	30	30	1,196	-	-	37	-	-	-	-

**Table 2**  
**Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2010 (Continued)**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
<b>FLORIDA (CONTINUED)</b>																
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	10	3	-	-	10	1	30	30	247	-	-	10	-	-	-	-
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	33	-	-	-	33	10	30	30	1,187	-	-	33	-	-	-	-
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	34	3	16	-	18	12	30	30	553	-	-	34	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	28	3	-	-	28	2	30	30	624	-	-	28	-	-	-	-
<b>GEORGIA</b>																
CLAYTON	20	-	-	-	20	1	30	30	586	-	-	20	-	-	-	-
GWINNETT	13	12	10	-	3	38	30	29	684	-	-	13	-	-	-	-
<b>IDAHO</b>																
BONNER	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
<b>ILLINOIS</b>																
COOK	23	-	1	2	20	1	30	30	541	-	-	23	-	-	-	-
DUPAGE	5	-	-	-	5	6	30	30	255	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
<b>INDIANA</b>																
MARION	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	37	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
<b>KANSAS</b>																
18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SEDGWICK)	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
SHAWNEE	7	1	7	-	-	1	30	30	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
<b>MARYLAND</b>																
BALTIMORE	31	-	-	2	29	15	30	30	849	-	-	30	-	-	1	-
BALTIMORE CITY	22	1	15	-	7	16	30	30	267	-	-	22	-	-	-	-
FREDERICK	2	-	1	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
HARFORD	27	-	11	1	15	13	30	30	395	-	-	27	-	-	-	-
WICOMICO	2	-	-	-	2	1	30	30	81	-	-	1	1	-	-	-
<b>MASSACHUSETTS</b>																
BRISTOL	1	-	-	-	1	2	15	15	43	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
CAPE & ISLANDS	3	2	-	-	3	3	15	15	90	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
HAMPTON	2	-	-	-	2	2	15	15	60	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
<b>MISSISSIPPI</b>																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	8	-	-	-	8	2	30	30	218	-	-	8	-	-	-	-
<b>NEVADA</b>																
CLARK	42	2	1	3	38	6	30	30	1,149	-	-	42	-	-	-	-
LYON	1	1	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
<b>NEW JERSEY</b>																
ATLANTIC	2	-	-	-	2	2	30	30	108	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
BERGEN	12	-	-	-	12	2	27	10	181	-	-	12	-	-	-	-
BURLINGTON	1	-	1	-	-	-	20	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-

**Table 2**  
**Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2010 (Continued)**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
<b>NEW JERSEY (CONTINUED)</b>																
CAPE MAY	4	2	2	-	2	-	19	-	26	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	13	3	8	1	4	-	13	-	38	-	-	8	1	4	-	-
ESSEX	16	-	-	-	16	-	20	-	282	-	-	3	-	13	-	-
GLOUCESTER	13	4	4	1	8	10	19	30	92	1	-	8	-	3	1	-
MIDDLESEX	1	-	-	-	1	-	20	-	5	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
MONMOUTH	5	2	-	-	5	1	30	30	140	-	-	3	-	-	2	-
MORRIS	28	-	7	-	21	4	15	25	250	-	-	15	-	13	-	-
OCEAN	15	3	1	3	11	4	22	15	186	-	-	11	-	3	1	-
SALEM	11	-	1	-	10	1	16	10	122	-	-	4	-	7	-	-
SOMERSET	16	-	7	-	9	7	20	10	163	-	-	14	2	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	67	5	52	2	13	29	23	25	388	2	-	51	1	4	9	-
UNION	11	1	8	-	3	-	24	-	60	-	-	6	-	5	-	-
<b>NEW YORK</b>																
MONROE	20	5	6	1	13	10	30	30	384	-	-	15	-	5	-	-
NASSAU	3	2	1	-	2	23	30	25	274	-	-	2	1	-	-	-
NEW YORK	3	-	-	-	3	4	30	30	161	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	16	10	6	-	10	44	30	24	936	-	-	12	4	-	-	-
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	90	9	1	-	89	41	30	31	2,844	2	-	85	-	-	3	-
ONONDAGA	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	4	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
QUEENS	261	14	2	6	253	607	28	29	22,975	1	3	257	-	-	-	-
RENSSELAER	1	1	-	-	1	2	30	12	54	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
RICHMOND	7	1	-	-	7	16	30	26	629	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
ROCKLAND	8	-	-	-	8	70	30	25	1,860	-	2	6	-	-	-	-
SARATOGA	1	1	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	57	20	10	-	47	54	30	30	2,152	-	1	49	6	1	-	-
WAYNE	11	2	8	-	3	1	27	30	81	1	-	9	-	1	-	-
WESTCHESTER	1	1	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>																
WAKE	1	-	1	-	-	2	30	30	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
<b>OHIO</b>																
HAMILTON	4	-	1	-	3	1	30	30	101	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
<b>OKLAHOMA</b>																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	14	-	-	-	14	-	30	-	353	-	-	14	-	-	-	-
<b>OREGON</b>																
LANE	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	28	-	-	1	27	-	30	-	510	-	-	28	-	-	-	-
<b>PENNSYLVANIA</b>																
BERKS	3	1	-	-	3	-	30	-	42	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	5	-	-	-	5	2	30	30	175	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	3	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
LAWRENCE	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	18	-	6	-	12	2	27	30	216	-	-	15	1	2	-	-

**Table 2**  
**Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2010 (Continued)**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application							
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*	Number of Extensions	Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
<b>PENNSYLVANIA (CONTINUED)</b>																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	21	-	5	-	16	-	27	-	323	-	-	19	-	2	-	-
<b>TENNESSEE</b>																
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DAVIDSON)	33	2	-	-	33	11	30	30	854	-	-	33	-	-	-	-
30TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SHELBY)	2	-	2	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
<b>TEXAS</b>																
HARRIS	2	-	1	-	1	-	30	-	26	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
<b>VIRGINIA</b>																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	43	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
<b>WYOMING</b>																
CAMPBELL	4	-	-	-	4	-	23	-	91	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
LARAMIE	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	15	-	-	1	-	-	-	-

\* Based on the number of orders for which intercept devices were installed as reported by the prosecuting official.

\*\* Combination refers to the number of authorized interceptions for which more than one location was reported.